

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_ I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,466	09/895,466 06/29/2001		Robin Budd	EMC-00-066	6561	
24227	7590	11/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
EMC COR		=	PARK, I	PARK, ILWOO		
176 SOUTH		NERAL COUNSEL Γ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOPKINTO	ON, MA	01748	2182			
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/895,466	BUDD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ilwoo Park	2182		

		Ilwoo Park	2182	
The MAILING	DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 Oct	ober 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed af this application, appli places the application	iter a final rejection, but prior to or on icant must timely file one of the follow n in condition for allowance; (2) a No ued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply no event, however, Examiner Note: If b	y expires 3 months from the mailing date y expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A will the statutory period for reply expire la pox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (5 THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be ob- have been filed is the date for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcu set forth in (b) above, if check	tained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date purposes of determining the period of exilated from: (1) the expiration date of the sed. Any reply received by the Office later t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of Ap	l was filed on A brief in compopeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
(a) ☐ They raise new (b) ☐ They raise the (c) ☒ They are not de	dment(s) filed after a final rejection, it issues that would require further consissue of new matter (see NOTE belowered to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see N0 w);	OTE below);	
	dditional claims without canceling a (. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are	e not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	s overcome the following rejection(s)			
non-allowable claim(s				_
how the new or amer	3,5,6 and 8-16.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER E\</u>				
because applicant fai	evidence filed after a final action, bu iled to provide a showing of good and need. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered because the	evidence filed after the date of filing affidavit or other evidence failed to o sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or othe REQUEST FOR RECONSI	r evidence is entered. An explanation DERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reco	onsideration has been considered bu heet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
	nformation Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			ILWOO PAR PRIMARY EXAM	
		(Mont	al
	•		lĺwoo Park 11/02/2	2006

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants' arguments are not persuasive: Ohran fails to teach "recognizing that the network between the applications is unavailable"; rather, Ohran teaches a failover backup when a server fails and not when the network fails. The examiner respectfully disagrees: as disclosed in the instant application [page 8, lines 10-18], the Internet connection 32 ["the network" in the claims] unavailable comprises the lack of the status communication between one system and another; or the connection to the Internet from one node may be failed but the whole Internet itself never have been broken. Ohran teaches recognizing the network connection between the two computers 111 and 122 is unavailable.